Probiotics in the neonatal period and long term effects on hospitalisation in infancy: A data linkage study from WA

Ravisha Srinivasjois FRACP, 1, 2 3 4 Amanuel Gebremedhin 3. PhD. Desiree Silva 1, 3 FRACP, Gavin Pereira PhD.

1. Department of Neonatology and Paediatrics. Joondalup Health Campus, 2. School of Medicine. University of Western Australia 3 School of Population Health. Curtin University. Bentley WA. 4. Edith Cowan university. WA.

HEALTH CARE

+

RAMSAY

People caring for people

Introduction

•Gut microbiome modification (GMM) in preterm neonates (<32 weeks of gestation) has been practiced since early 2010 in Western Australia.

•Benefits include Reduction in NEC, late onset sepsis, better feed tolerance.

• Commonly used products contain a combinations of Lactobacilli and Bifidobacterium. Evidence on the longterm risks of hospitalisation in infancy is lacking.

Aims and Objectives

CLINICAL QUESTION

In preterm infants <32 weeks of gestation (patient), does the use of probiotics (intervention), reduce the risk of hospitalisation in the first 2 years after discharge from the neonatal unit (outcomes) when compared with no probiotic exposure (comparison). Study Period: Epoch 1- Pre Probiotic era (July 2007-June 2009). Epoch 2- Probiotic era (Jan 2012-Jan 2014)

Sub group: Risk of respiratory and Gastrointestinal infections



Results

Epoch 1- 2007-2009- N=1239 Epoch 2- Jan 2012- 2014- N= 887

Demographics were comparable

Probioticsmechanism of action



Risk of hospitalisation in 2 years post discharge

	Model1-		adjusted for GA		Adjusted for GA, smoking and ethnicity		Adjusted for GA, smoking and ethnicity, Mat_age, SES		restricted for GA <=28 weeks		restricted for GA <=28 weeks and adjusted for GA	
Characteristic	IRR	95% Cl	IRR	95% Cl	IRR	95% CI	IRR	95 % CI	IRR	95% Cl ^{′′}	IRR	95% CI
as.factor(epoch)												
Epoch I	-	_	° 	_	_		—	-	-	-	-	—
Epoch II	0.94	0.87, 1.01	0.93	0.87, 1.01	0.92	0.86, 1.00	0.92	0.86, 0.99	0.95	0.85, 1.07	0.93	0.83, 1.03
ga			1.04	1.03, 1.05	1.04	1.03, 1.05	1.04	1.03, 1.05			1.16	1.14, 1.19
as.factor(Smoke)												
No					-		—	-				
Yes					1.08	0.98, 1.19	1.08	0.97, 1.19				

Risk of GI and respiratory hospitalisation in 2 years post discharge

	GI_Infn	Unadjusted-	Gl_Infn: smoking, et	Adjusted for GA, hnicity, Mat_age, and	Resp_In	fn:Unadjusted-	Resp_Infn:Adjusted for GA, smoking, ethnicity,	
Characteristic	IRR	95% CI	IRR	95% CI	IRR	95% CI		95% CI

as.factor(epoch)								
Epoch I	_							
Epoch II	1.03	0.65, 1.61	0.93	0.59, 1.46	0.81	0.67, 0.98	0.83	0.68, 1.00
ja			1.02	0.95, 1.11			0.94	0.91, 0.97
s.factor(Smoke)								
No							—	-
Yes			1.61	0.93, 2.75			1.23	0.98, 1.55
ns.factor(ethnic.cat)								
Caucasian							-	—
ATSI			3.64	2.00, 6.57			1.59	1.21, 2.06
Other			1.59	0.84, 2.88			0.86	0.65, 1.11

	Epoch 1 (n)	Epoch 2 (n)	IRR (adjusted for GA, smoking, ethnicity, maternal age, SES)
Overall hospitalisation	1504	887	0.92 (0.86-0.99)
GI related hospitalisation	46	33	0.93 (0.59-1.46)
Respiratory hospistalisation	293	166	0.83 (0.68-1.00)

Summary of results

Conclusion •Probiotics in preterm neonates reduced the risk of hosptalisation in the first 2 years post discharge from NICU

Probiotics did not reduce the risk of GI or respiratory related hospitalisation post discharge.

•ATSI have an increased risk of hospitalisation compared with Caucasian population

Future directions

•Adds strength to the continued benefits of probiotics use in preterm infants •Reassurance that immune mediated damage in the longterm is unlikely •A larger study would provide further information.

